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#### Abstract

We describe how to pose straight band initial value problems for lattice equations defined on arbitrary stencils. In finitely many directions, we arrive at discrete Goursat problems and in the remaining directions we find Cauchy problems. Next, we consider $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$-periodic initial value problems. In the Goursat directions, the periodic solutions are generated by correspondences. In the Cauchy directions, assuming the equation to be multi-linear, the periodic solution can be obtained uniquely by iteration of a particularly simple mapping, whose dimension is a piecewise linear function of $s_{1}, s_{2}$.


PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Jr

## 1. Introduction

For a given nonlinear partial differential equation (PDE), say, in two independent variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u, u_{t}, u_{x}, u_{x x}, u_{x t}, u_{t t}, \ldots\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can consider its traveling wave solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=f(\xi), \quad \xi=x+\beta t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the PDE (1) for function $u(x, t)$ reduces to an ordinary differential equation (ODE) for function $f(\xi)$. Note that the traveling wave solution for the PDE satisfies a periodicity condition,

$$
u(x, t)=u\left(x+s_{1}, t+s_{2}\right),
$$

with $s_{1} / s_{2}=-\beta$.
Here, we study periodic solutions of partial difference equations ( $\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{Es}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(u_{l, m}, u_{l+1, m}, u_{l, m+1}, u_{l+2, m}, u_{l+1, m+1}, u_{l, m+2}, \ldots\right)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because lattice parameters $l, m$ take values in $\mathbb{Z}$, we need integer parameters $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ to impose the s-periodicity condition,

$$
u_{l, m}=u_{l+s_{1}, m+s_{2}}
$$

In contrast to the continuous case, unless $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are co-prime, the $\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{E}$ reduces to a system of ordinary difference equations ( $\mathrm{O} \Delta \mathrm{Es}$ ). In fact, we get a system of $r \mathrm{O} \Delta \mathrm{Es}$ for $r$ functions, where $r$ is the greatest common divisor of $\left|s_{1}\right|$ and $\left|s_{2}\right|$. The traveling wave interpretation is given in section 4 , where the discrete analog of (2) is given,

$$
u_{l, m}=v_{n}^{p}, \quad n=n_{l, m} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p=p_{l, m} \in \mathbb{N}_{r},
$$

for certain functions $n, p$ which depend on the choice of $\mathbf{s}$. Here $n$ plays the role of $\beta$ in (2) and $p$ distinguishes the $r$ different functions.

Periodic reductions, for lattice equations defined on a square,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(u_{l, m}, u_{l+1, m}, u_{l, m+1}, u_{l+1, m+1}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

were performed in [11]. The authors considered cases $s_{2}=s_{1}$ and $s_{2}=s_{1}+1$. Not long after, in [15], it was realized that such reductions provide traveling wave solutions. The authors showed how to perform $\mathbf{s}$-reduction with $\mathbf{s}=\left(z_{2},-z_{1}\right)$, taking $z_{1}, z_{2}$ to be co-prime. They provided a particular nice way of posing initial value problems for lattice equations defined on a square (4). Born out of the third concluding remark in that paper, [15], a completely general description of s-reduction, with $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, has been given recently in [13]. At present, we display a more geometric understanding, and we show how to pose initial value problems for general lattice equations (3).

We call an initial value problem well-posed if for generic initial values a solution exists and is unique. If a generic initial value problem yields a finite number of values at any given lattice point, we call it nearly well-posed.

We will prove the following. Let a multi-linear function $F$ be defined on a finite set $S$ of lattice points, not lying on the same line. Then for equation $F=0$ on the lattice, there are finitely many directions of $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for which the equation admits a nearly wellposed s-periodic initial value problem. These directions coincide with the directions of the line-pieces in the boundary of the convex hull of $S$. If the direction of $\mathbf{s}$ is not one of these, then $F=0$ admits a well-posed s-periodic initial value problem equivalent to a finite-dimensional mapping the dimension of which is a piecewise linear function of $s_{1}, s_{2}$.

In the case of well-posedness, the periodic solutions are uniquely determined by iteration of single-valued mappings. Here, s-periodicity on the band of initial values implies speriodicity of the solution on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In the case of nearly well-posedness, the periodic solutions are generated by correspondences. Here we impose s-periodicity on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

In our construction, the mappings are particularly simple as they can be obtained by using the equation only $r=\operatorname{gcd}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ times, and no composition of functions is involved. To obtain explicit expressions for the correspondences, one needs to solve a system of $r$ equations in $r$ unknowns. We also formulate a conjecture about the multi-valuedness of certain correspondences, which relates to the number of fixed points of a certain mapping.

We note that from well-posed s-periodic initial value problems, one can construct nonperiodic initial value problems, of the Cauchy type, by taking the limit where $r$ goes to infinity. For the nearly well-posed s-periodic initial value problems, if one takes the same limit, one has to add complementary lines of initial values. Therefore, this leads to discrete Goursat problems.

It will be instructive to first describe these (non-periodic) initial value problems. This will be done in geometric terms in the following section. Second, in section 3, we will impose periodicity conditions. Then, in section 4 , we will arrive at the traveling wave interpretation of the periodic solutions. In section 5, we conclude with a hint to applications in the area of discrete integrable systems. We provide explicit reductions of an integrable 5-point equation. And, we show how to pose initial value problems for the quotient-difference algorithm, which is an integrable system of equations.


Figure 1. Seven-point sailboat stencil.


Figure 2. Squeezing the sailboat.

## 2. Initial value problems

A point $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a direction if $s_{1} \in \mathbb{N}:=\{0,1, \ldots\}$, and $s_{1}$ is co-prime with $\epsilon s_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\epsilon$ is the sign of $s_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, every nonzero point $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ can be written uniquely as $\mathbf{s}= \pm r \hat{\mathbf{s}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ denotes the direction of $\mathbf{s}$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A line piece $L$ has direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ if $L=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{s} I$, for certain $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

A stencil $S$ is a finite set of points of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that not all points lie on the same line. Thinking of $S$ as a subset of the real plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, the boundary of the convex hull of $S$ is a closed polygonal line. We call this boundary the $S$-polygon, its vertices the $S$-extreme points (can be proved that they belong to $S$ ), its edges $S$-edges and the directions of $S$-edges S-directions.

Let a lattice equation on $S$ be given. We suppose that the equation is multi-linear, at least in the points that are on the edges of the $S$-polygon.

As a pedagogical example, throughout the text, we will exploit the 7-point stencil depicted in figure 1 . For this stencil the $S$-directions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1,1),(2,-1),(1,-1),(1,0) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1. Well-posed Cauchy problems

We choose a point $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, whose direction is not an $S$-direction. Clearly, there are only finitely many directions in which $s$ may not be chosen.

For a sailboat equation we choose $\mathbf{s}=(3,2)$. We squeeze its stencil $S$ between two lines with direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$, denoted by $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$; see figure 2. Because $\mathbf{s}$ is not an $S$-direction, squeezing lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ intersect stencil $S$ at one $S$-extreme point. It is plain that if initial values are given at all lattice points between the two lines together with the values at the lattice points on one of the two lines, say $L_{1}$, then the values at the lattice points on the other line, in this case $L_{2}$, can be calculated using copies of the equation.

We can shift the lines further apart, in a parallel fashion, and are able to calculate the values at the shifted lines. Iterating this procedure determines a solution uniquely.


Figure 3. Goursat problem for sailboat equations.


Figure 4. Another Goursat problem for sailboat equations.

We now expand a little on how to shift lines further apart over the lattice. Let $A$ be a line through the origin with direction a. Let us shift the line in a parallel and continuous fashion until the line intersects the lattice $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ non-trivially again. The question we then ask is: what are the possible discrete translation vectors? Or, which lattice points are closest to $A$ ?

Choose $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. The component of $\mathbf{c}$ perpendicular to $\mathbf{a}$ is

$$
\mathbf{c}_{\perp}=\mathbf{c}-\frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{det}\binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{c}} \cdot\left(-a_{2}, a_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, the distance from $\mathbf{c}$ to $A$ is $D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) /\|\mathbf{a}\|$, where $D: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}):=\left|\operatorname{det}\binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{c}}\right| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a fact from elementary number theory, since $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are co-prime, there exist c, such that $c_{2} a_{1}-c_{1} a_{2}=1$. This is, after 0 , the smallest value $D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ can acquire. Moreover, $\mathbf{c}$ can be obtained from the extended Euclidean algorithm. Clearly, once a particular $\mathbf{c}$ has been found, all lattice points that are closest to line $A$ are $\pm \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{a} \mathbb{Z}$.

### 2.2. Well-posed Goursat problems

We could have chosen point $\mathbf{s}$ such that one of the lines $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$, or both, would intersect the $S$-polygon in an $S$-edge. When this happens, the initial value problem is ill-posed; we have two options. One option is to add initial values on complementary lines, as in figure 3. The other option is to impose periodicity on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. This will be done in the following section.

When exactly one of the lines, say $L_{2}$, intersects the $S$-polygon in an $S$-edge, we only have to add initial values on complementary half lines attached to $L_{2}$. This is the case for sailboat equations when $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(2,-1)$; see figure 4 .


Figure 5. $(3,2)$ periodic initial value problem for sailboat equations.

In general, the direction $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of the complementary lines should be chosen such that $D(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{c})=1$. And, if $P$ denotes the intersection of $L_{i}$ with stencil $S$, the number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of complementary half lines that should be attached to line $L_{i}$ is

$$
n=\max _{\mathbf{p}_{1}, \mathbf{p}_{2} \in P}\left\{k: \mathbf{p}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{2}=k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}\right\} .
$$

## 3. Periodic initial value problems

By imposing s-periodicity with $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ not an $S$-direction, we get a well-posed periodic initial value problem. If $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ is an $S$-direction, we get a nearly well-posed periodic initial value problem.

### 3.1. Well-posed periodic initial value problems

Imposing s-periodicity amounts to identifying points $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c}$ if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}=k \cdot \mathbf{s}$. We would like to know how many inequivalent lattice points are either on $L_{1}$ or in between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. This number gives us the dimension of the s-periodic initial value problem.

By imposing (3,2)-periodicity the number of inequivalent initial values, cf figure 2 , becomes 8 . The reader may verify this, by counting the number of black dots in the parallelogram given in figure 5 , excluding the points on the dashed lines.

In general, let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ represent a difference between two parallel lines $L_{1}, L_{2}$ with direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$, that is, let there be $\mathbf{p}_{1} \in L_{1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2} \in L_{2}$ such that $\mathbf{p}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{2}= \pm d$.

We can now rephrase the question: what is the number of lattice points in the parallelogram spanned by $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{s}$ ? This is the number of points $\mathbf{p}=a \cdot \mathbf{d}+b \cdot \mathbf{s}$, with $0 \leqslant a, b<1 \in \mathbb{Q}$. The answer is quite appealing, it is $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})$, with $D$ defined by (6). The short proof would be that the number of lattice points is equal to the area of the parallelogram. But the reader may want to verify the statement by counting lattice points in rectangles; see figure 6 .

Indeed, for the $\mathbf{s}=(3,2)$ periodic initial value problem, depicted in figure 5 , taking $\mathbf{d}=(1,-2)$ yields $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})=8$. Note that the number $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{d}$. If $\mathbf{d}$ is a difference between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, then the set $Z$ of all differences is $Z=\{ \pm \mathbf{d}+\hat{\mathbf{s}} \mathbb{Z}\}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{p} \in Z$ implies $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{p})=D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})$. Also note that the number $D(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{d})$ counts the number of discrete lines in between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\mathbf{s}= \pm r \hat{\mathbf{s}}$. Then, there are $r$ inequivalent points on each line.

Given a well-posed s-periodic initial value problem, a particular simple way of updating the $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})$ initial values is to perform a shift over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$, where $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ satisfies $D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)=1$. For the initial value problem depicted in figure 5, we take $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(2,1)$. By shifting over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ all the


Figure 6. Counting lattice points in parallelograms. The number of lattice points in the parallelogram on the left is equal to the number of lattice points in a $s_{1}+d_{1} \times s_{2}-d_{2}$ rectangle minus the number of lattice points in a $s_{1} \times s_{2}$ rectangle minus the number of points in a $d_{1} \times\left(-d_{2}\right)$ rectangle plus 1 .


Figure 7. Nearly well-posed $(4,-2)$-periodic initial value problem for sailboat equations.
black dots will be shifted to a point between the two lines, except for the point closest to the dashed line, which is shifted to a point on the dashed line. This means that to write down the corresponding mapping we only have to use the sailboat equation once. In general, one has to use the equation $r$ times, in order to calculate the $r$ values at the lattice points closest to the initial values. We note that we only need to impose s-periodicity on the band of initial values. Due to multi-linearity, this will guarantee the s-periodicity of the solution on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

### 3.2. Nearly well-posed periodic initial value problems

There is a finite number of directions where line $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$ intersects the $S$-polygon at an $S$-edge. In these cases, we get nearly well-posed periodic initial value problems by imposing s-periodicity on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us impose $\mathbf{s}=(4,-2)$ periodicity and, once again, consider a sailboat equation; see figure 7. A difference between lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ is $\mathbf{d}=(0,2)$. Therefore, the s-periodic initial value problem has dimension $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})=8$. With $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$ we have $D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)=1$. We update to the left by shifting over $-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$. At both points on $L_{1}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ we can determine its value uniquely by using a single sailboat equation. On the other side, at the dashed line $L_{2}$, we need to solve a system of two sailboat equations to obtain the two values at $L_{2}$.

If we were to consider $(2 r,-r)$-reduction, with $r \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$this would yield a system of $r$ equations in $r$ unknowns, which can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\cdots=f\left(x_{r-1}, x_{r}\right)=f\left(x_{r}, x_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming the sailboat equation to be multi-linear in the points of intersection of $L_{2}$ and stencil $S$, then lemma 1 tells us that the correspondence that generates the $(2 r,-r)$-periodic solution will, generically, be two-valued.

Table 1. Conjectured fixed point and orbit counts for the mapping $H$.

| $r$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $a_{r}$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 20 | 31 | 49 | 78 | 125 | 201 | 324 | 523 |
| $c_{r}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 18 | 25 | 40 |

Lemma 1. Let $f_{i}(x, y), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be generic polynomials of degree 1 in $x, y$. Then the system of $n$ equations in $n$ unknowns

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f_{2}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\cdots=f_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)=0
$$

has two solutions.
Proof. Eliminating $x_{2}$ from $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f_{2}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0$ gives us a polynomial equation $g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=0$, where $g_{1}$ has degree 1 in $x_{1}, x_{3}$. Similarly, eliminating $x_{3}$ from $g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=$ $f_{3}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$ yields $g_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)=0$, where $g_{2}$ has degree 1 in $x_{1}, x_{4}$. Performing further elimination of $x_{4}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ we find $g_{n-2}\left(x_{1}, x_{n}\right)=0$, where $g_{n-2}$ has degree 1 in $x_{1}, x_{n}$. Now, by eliminating $x_{n}$ from the system $g_{n-2}\left(x_{1}, x_{n}\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)=0$ we get a quadratic for $x_{1}$. So $x_{1}$ can take two values. Once $x_{1}$ has been fixed, the values of $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are determined by linear relations.

In general, let $P$ be the intersection of a line $L_{i}$, with direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$, and a stencil $S$. The $n$ lattice points in $P$ can be written as $\mathbf{p}+g_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, n, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and the integers $g_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ form (part of) an arithmetic progression with difference $d \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. Let us impose $r \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}$-periodicity. If $d$ is not a divisor of $r$, we get a system of $r$ equations in $r$ unknowns, which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{g_{1}+k}, x_{g_{2}+k}, \ldots, x_{g_{n}+k}\right)=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, r-1, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{k}=x_{l}$ if $k \equiv l \bmod r$. Contrarily, if $d$ divides $r$, we get $d$ systems of $r / d$ equations in $r / d$ unknowns, similar to the system (8), dividing $r$ by $d$.

When $n=2$ we can always relabel the unknowns $x_{i} \mapsto x_{\sigma(i)}$, such that equation (8), with $n=2$, equals equation (7), in which case we know that there are (at most) two solutions. This is independent of parameter $r$, which is proportional to the dimension. When $n>2$ these facts change drastically. Taking $n=3$, we have studied the following system of $r$ equations in $r$ unknowns:
$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\cdots=f\left(x_{r-1}, x_{r}, x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{r}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$,
where $f(x, y, z), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ is a generic polynomial of degree 1 in $x, y, z$. We define $a_{r}$ to be the number of solutions to the system (9). In fact, number $a_{r}$ is equal to the number of fixed points of the $r$ th iterate of the mapping $H:(a, b) \mapsto(b, c), f(a, b, c)=0$. Based on Gröbner-basis calculations in Maple we conjecture the following, cf [14, Seq. A000211].

Conjecture 2. We have $a_{1}=3, a_{2}=5, a_{r}=a_{r-1}+a_{r-2}-2$.
The number $c_{r}$ of orbits of $H$ with length $r$ is then given by the Möbius inversion formula

$$
c_{r}=1 / r \sum_{d \mid r} \mu(d) a_{r / d} .
$$

These integer sequences, see table 1, are almost the same as the fixed point and orbit counts for the golden cat map [2], cf [14, Seq. A060280].


Figure 8. Distinguished regions for sailboat equations.

### 3.3. On the dimension of periodic initial value problems

In this section, we show that the dimension of s-periodic initial value problems is a piecewise linear function of $\mathbf{s}$.

For a given stencil $S$, we distinguish pie-piece shaped regions of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, cut out by $S$ direction lines through the origin. For sailboat equations, we denote the four $S$-directions (5) by

$$
\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{1}=(1,1), \quad \hat{\mathbf{s}}^{2}=(1,0) \quad \hat{\mathbf{s}}^{3}=(2,-1), \quad \hat{\mathbf{s}}^{4}=(1,-1) .
$$

They give rise to four different regions; see figure 8 . To each region $R^{i}$ we may associate a point $\mathbf{d}^{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

Take $\mathbf{s} \in R^{i}$. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be lines with direction $\mathbf{s}$, which squeeze stencil $S$ and intersect the $S$-polygon in points $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2}$ respectively. Choose $d^{i}= \pm\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)$. Clearly, $d^{i}$ is a difference between lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, and hence the dimension of the s-periodic initial value problem is $D\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}^{i}\right)$. For sailboat equations, we may take

$$
\mathbf{d}^{1}=(1,-2), \quad \mathbf{d}^{2}=(0,2), \quad \mathbf{d}^{3}=(2,1), \quad \mathbf{d}^{4}=(3,0)
$$

For $\mathbf{s}$ on the boundary between two regions, since the associated points are not uniquely defined one can choose any point $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ in the intersection of $L_{1}$ and $S$ and any point $\mathbf{p}_{2}$ in the intersection of $L_{2}$ and $S$ and take a difference, $\mathbf{d}^{i}= \pm\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{2}\right)$. In particular, with the boundary between $R^{i}$ and $R^{i+1}$, one may associate $\mathbf{d}^{i}$ or $\mathbf{d}^{i+1}$. These choices are all equivalent: if $\mathbf{d}^{1}$ and $\mathbf{d}^{2}$ are two possible choices on a boundary with direction $\mathbf{s}$, then $\mathbf{d}^{1} \pm \mathbf{d}^{2}=k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, for sailboat equations we have
$\mathbf{d}^{1}+\mathbf{d}^{2}=\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{2}, \quad \mathbf{d}^{2}-\mathbf{d}^{3}=-\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{3}, \quad \mathbf{d}^{3}-\mathbf{d}^{4}=-\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{4}, \quad \mathbf{d}^{4}-\mathbf{d}^{1}=2 \hat{\mathbf{s}}^{1}$.
We can write down a single formula for the dimension of the s-periodic initial value problem. Suppose that there are $n$ distinct $S$-directions. These define $n$ different regions $R^{i}$, which have $n$ associated differences $\mathbf{d}^{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. The dimension of the s-periodic initial value problem is then given by the piecewise linear expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{D\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}^{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, we prove that $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{j}$ implies $\max \left\{D\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}^{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}=D\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}^{j}\right)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{j}$. Then $\mathbf{d}^{j}$ is a difference between two lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ with direction $\mathbf{s}$, which squeeze stencil $S$. Any other $\mathbf{d}^{i}$, with $i \neq j$, is a difference between two points which lie in between the two lines. Therefore, $\mathbf{d}^{i}$ itself fits in between the two lines and we find that $D\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}^{i}\right) \leqslant D\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}^{j}\right)$, which establishes the result. For sailboat equations, the dimension of the s -periodic solution is given by

$$
\max \left\{\left|2 s_{1}\right|,\left|3 s_{2}\right|,\left|2 s_{1}+s_{2}\right|,\left|2 s_{2}-s_{1}\right|\right\} .
$$

## 4. Discrete traveling wave reduction

In this section, we explain how the periodic solutions arise as traveling wave reductions.
To explicitly write down the $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d})$-dimensional mappings that generate the s-periodic solutions, it is convenient to perform a change of variables $(l, m) \mapsto(n, p)$. One variable, $n$, will tell us on which line with direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ the point is and the other, $\mathbf{p}$, will distinguish the $r$ inequivalent points on each line.

Let $\epsilon$ denote the sign of $\hat{s}_{2}$, when $\hat{s}_{2}=0$ we set $\epsilon=-1$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})=\epsilon \operatorname{det}\binom{\mathbf{a}}{\hat{\mathbf{s}}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $n_{\mathrm{s}}$ only depends on $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ : for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $n_{k \cdot \hat{s}}(\mathbf{a})=n_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}(\mathbf{a})$. Also, the function $n_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is invariant under shifting a by $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ : for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
n_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a}+k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})=n_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})
$$

We have built in an antisymmetry of reflections in the $s_{1}$-axis: $n_{\left(s_{1},-s_{2}\right)}(\mathbf{a})=-n_{s}(\mathbf{a})$. And, the new variable $n$ increases to the right: $n_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a}+(1,0))>n_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})$, unless $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$. Next, we fix a point $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ which will tell us how to update the initial values. Also we will use $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ to define the variable $p$. If $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$, we take $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(0,1)$. If $\hat{s}_{2}=\epsilon$, we take $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$. And otherwise, we let $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ be the unique lattice point inside the parallelogram spanned by $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ and $(1,0)$, such that $D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)=1$. In this way, shifting over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ raises the index $n$ by 1 :

$$
n_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)=n_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})+1
$$

Clearly, lines with direction $\mathbf{s}$ are shifted to the next line on the right, except when $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$, where the lines are shifted upward. Using point $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})=\epsilon \operatorname{det}\binom{\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}}{\mathbf{a}} \bmod r \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we take $p \in \mathbb{N}_{r}:=\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$. Function $p_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{N}_{q}$ is invariant under shifting a by $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}$ : for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
p_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{a}+k \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)=p_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})
$$

The antisymmetry of reflections in the $s_{1}$-axis is present: $p_{\left(s_{1},-s_{2}\right)}(\mathbf{a})=-p_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})$. And, function $p$ increases by 1 when shifting a in direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ :

$$
p_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a}+\hat{\mathbf{s}})=p_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{a})+1
$$

The s-periodic traveling wave reduction is now given by

$$
u_{l, m} \mapsto v_{n}^{p}, \quad n=n_{\mathbf{s}}(l, m), \quad p=p_{\mathbf{s}}(l, m)
$$

which should be compared to (2). The lattice equation (3) reduces to the system of $r \mathrm{O} \Delta \mathrm{Es}$, with $p=0,1, \ldots, r-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(v_{n}^{p}, v_{n+\epsilon \hat{\epsilon}_{2}}^{p-\epsilon \epsilon}, v_{n-\epsilon \hat{s}_{1}^{s}}^{p+\epsilon c_{1}^{s}}, v_{n+2 \epsilon \epsilon \hat{s}_{2}}^{p-2 \epsilon \epsilon_{2}^{s}}, v_{n+\epsilon\left(\hat{s}_{2}-\hat{s}_{1}\right)}^{p-\epsilon\left(c_{1}^{s}-c_{1}^{s}\right)}, v_{n-2 \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}_{1}}^{p+2 \epsilon c_{1}^{s}}, \ldots\right)=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{s}$ is taken in one of the regions where one has a well-posed initial value problem. In terms of the reduced variables, the set of initial values can be specified as $\left\{v_{n}^{p}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{D / q}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{q}\right\}$, where $D$ is the dimension as given by equation (10). The corresponding mapping is

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
v_{n}^{p} \mapsto v_{n+1}^{p}, & n \in \mathbb{N}_{D / q-1}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{q} \\
v_{D / q-1}^{p} \mapsto v_{D / q}^{p}, & p \in \mathbb{N}_{q},
\end{array}
$$

where $v_{D / q}^{p}$ can be found by solving one of the equations (13), which we assumed to be linear in order to have uniqueness.

## 5. Applications, examples, extensions

Recently, in [1], a criterion has been given for the well-posedness of Cauchy problems for integrable equations defined on the square, on a so-called quad-graph, that is, a planar graph with quadrilateral faces. In contrast, we considered lattice equations not necessarily defined on a square, which live on the regular lattice (section 2) or on regular cylindrical lattices (sections 3 and 4). Also, for our results we did not require the equations to be integrable. An interesting open problem would be to consider (integrable) lattice equations defined on stencils different from the square, on non-regular quad-graphs.

The main application of our results we have in mind is in the area of discrete integrable systems. It is thought that reductions of integrable lattice equations are integrable. A common property of integrable lattice equations is that they possess a Lax pair. Recently, it has been shown that their s-periodic reductions also possess a Lax pair [13]. An open question is whether the reductions are completely integrable in the sense of Liouville-Arnold-Veselov, i.e. whether there are sufficiently many functionally independent integrals in involution [4, 16].

The staircase method provides integrals for mappings and correspondences that are obtained as traveling wave reductions of integrable lattice equations that exhibit a Lax pair. The integrals are obtained by taking the trace of powers of a monodromy matrix $\mathcal{L}$, which is an ordered product of Lax matrices along a staircase. The method was originally developed for scalar equations on the square [11, 13, 15], but applies to more general situations [9, 12]. In [13], it is shown that the monodromy matrix actually is one of the Lax matrices for the reduced system of $\mathrm{O} \Delta \mathrm{Es}$. In [8] we give a short, but general, proof of the invariance of $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L}^{i}\right)$, in the spirit of the original work [15]. The proof is solely based on the existence of s-periodic solutions and the presence of a Lax pair for the $\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{E}$. In this paper, we have shown the existence of s-periodic solutions for any (nonzero) $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for scalar lattice equations defined on any stencil. Therefore, we may conclude that the staircase method can be applied to all integrable scalar lattice equations. The question whether this provides sufficiently many integrals remains open; see [8].

### 5.1. Reductions of a 5-point equation

In this section, we perform different reductions for an integrable 5-point equation found in [5], namely the 5-point equation

$$
\frac{\left(u_{l, m}-u_{l, m-1}\right)\left(u_{l, m}-u_{l-1, m-1}\right)}{\left(u_{l, m}-u_{l, m+1}\right)\left(u_{l, m}-u_{l+1, m+1}\right)}=1
$$

see [5, equation (4a)], with $\alpha^{(\nu)}=0$.
The stencil on which the equation is defined is depicted in figure 9 . We perform a few different reductions, writing down explicitly the associated mapping or correspondence.

The directions of the convex hull of the stencil are $(1,2)$ and $(1,0)$. Therefore, we distinguish two different regions, as in figure 10. The distances we associate with the different regions are

$$
d^{1}=(0,2), \quad d^{2}=(2,2)
$$

Hence, the dimension of the s-periodic initial value problems is given by

$$
2 \max \left(\left|\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right|,\left|s_{1}\right|\right)
$$



Figure 9. A 5-point stencil.


Figure 10. Distinguished regions for the 5-point stencil in figure 9 .

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
v_{0}^{0} & v_{2}^{0} & v_{4}^{0} & \cdot & \\
& v_{1}^{0} & v_{3}^{0} & v_{5}^{0} & \\
\cdot & v_{0}^{0} & v_{2}^{0} & v_{4}^{0} & \cdot \\
\cdot & & v_{1}^{0} & v_{3}^{0} & v_{5}^{0} \\
\cdot & \cdot & & v_{0}^{0} & v_{2}^{0}
\end{array} v_{4}^{0}
$$

Figure 11. ( $-1,2$ )-reduction.

- $(-1,2)$-reduction. We have $\mathbf{s}=-\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}=(1,-2) \in R^{2}$ and $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(1,-1)$. The dimension is $D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{d}^{2}\right)=6$. We change variables $n=2 l+m$ and $p \equiv 0$. In figure 11 , we display the positions of the initial values $\left\{v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, \ldots, v_{5}^{0}\right\}$ in part of the lattice.

The mapping which generates the $(-1,2)$-periodic solution is

$$
\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, v_{4}^{0}, v_{5}^{0}\right) \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, v_{4}^{0}, v_{5}^{0}, \frac{v_{3}^{0} v_{0}^{0}+v_{2}^{0} v_{3}^{0}-v_{2}^{0} v_{0}^{0}-v_{3}^{0} v_{4}^{0}}{v_{3}^{0}-v_{4}^{0}}\right)
$$

- ( 0,2 -reduction. We have $\mathbf{s}=2 \hat{\mathbf{s}}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(0,1) \in R^{2}$ and $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$. The dimension is $D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{d}^{2}\right)=4$. We change variables $n=l$ and $p \equiv m \bmod 2$. In figure 12 , we display the positions of the initial values $\left\{v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, v_{1}^{1}\right\}$ in part of the lattice. The mapping which generates the $(0,2)$-periodic solution is a permutation

$$
\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, v_{1}^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{1}, v_{0}^{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0} & \circ & \circ \\
& v_{0}^{1} & v_{1}^{1} & \circ \\
& v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0} & \circ \\
& v_{0}^{1} & v_{1}^{1} & \circ \\
& v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0} & \circ
\end{array}
$$

Figure 12. (0, 2)-reduction.


Figure 13. (1, 2)-reduction.

|  | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $v_{0}^{0}$ | $v_{1}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $v_{0}^{1}$ | $v_{1}^{1}$ |  |
|  |  | $v_{0}^{0}$ | $v_{1}^{0}$ |  |
|  | $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| $v_{0}^{1}$ | $v_{1}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $v_{1}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 14. (2, 2)-reduction.

- ( 1,2 )-reduction. We have $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(1,2)$ which is on the boundary of $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$. The shift is $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(1,1)$ and the dimension is $D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{d}^{1}\right)=D\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{d}^{2}\right)=2$. We change variables $n=2 l-m$ and $p \equiv 0$. In figure 13 , we display the positions of the initial values $\left\{v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}\right\}$ in part of the lattice. In this case the equation vanishes and infinitely many values for $v_{i}^{0}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, may be chosen arbitrarily. This initial value problem is not nearly well-posed.
- (2,2)-reduction. Specifying initial values as in figure 14 we find the same permutation as for $(0,2)$-reduction.

|  | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $v_{0}^{0}$ | $v_{1}^{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\cdot$ | $v_{0}^{0}$ | $v_{1}^{0}$ | $v_{2}^{0}$ | $v_{3}^{0}$ |
| $v_{1}^{0}$ | $v_{2}^{0}$ | $v_{3}^{0}$ |  |  |
| $v_{3}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 15. (2, 1)-reduction.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
v_{1}^{1} & v_{1}^{0} & v_{1}^{1} & v_{1}^{0} & v_{1}^{1} \\
v_{0}^{1} & v_{0}^{0} & v_{0}^{1} & v_{0}^{0} & v_{0}^{1}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 16. (2, 0)-reduction.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
v_{3}^{0} & v_{4}^{0} & v_{5}^{0} & \cdot & \cdot \\
v_{1}^{0} & v_{2}^{0} & v_{3}^{0} & v_{4}^{0} & v_{5}^{0} \\
& v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0} & v_{2}^{0} & v_{3}^{0} \\
\cdot & & & v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 17. (2, -1)-reduction.

- (2,1)-reduction. We specify initial values as in figure 15 which we update by shifting over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(1,0)$. The four-dimensional mapping is

$$
\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}\right) \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, \frac{v_{2}^{0} v_{1}^{0}+v_{2}^{0} v_{0}^{0}-v_{2}^{0} v_{3}^{0}-v_{0}^{0} v_{1}^{0}}{v_{2}^{0}-v_{3}^{0}}\right) .
$$

- (2,0)-reduction. We specify initial values as in figure 16 which we update by shifting over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(0,1)$. The four-dimensional correspondence comprises two permutations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, v_{1}^{0}\right) & \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{1}, v_{0}^{1}\right) \\
\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, v_{1}^{0}\right) & \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, v_{1}^{1}, v_{0}^{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- (2,-1)-reduction. We specify initial values as in figure 17 which we update by shifting over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(0,1)$. The six-dimensional mapping is
$\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, v_{4}^{0}, v_{5}^{0}\right) \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, v_{4}^{0}, v_{5}^{0}, \frac{v_{3}^{0} v_{0}^{0}+v_{1}^{0} v_{3}^{0}-v_{1}^{0} v_{0}^{0}-v_{3}^{0} v_{5}^{0}}{v_{3}^{0}-v_{5}^{0}}\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
v_{1}^{0} & v_{2}^{0} & v_{3}^{0} & \cdot & \cdot \\
v_{0}^{1} & v_{1}^{1} & v_{2}^{1} & v_{3}^{1} & \cdot \\
& v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0} & v_{2}^{0} & v_{3}^{0} \\
& & v_{0}^{1} & v_{1}^{1} & v_{2}^{1} \\
\cdot & \cdot & & v_{0}^{0} & v_{1}^{0}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 18. (2, -2)-reduction.


Figure 19. The stencil of the QD-system.

- (2,-2)-reduction. We specify initial values as in figure 18 which we update by shifting over $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{s}}=(0,1)$. The eight-dimensional mapping is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(v_{0}^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, v_{0}^{1}, v_{1}^{1}, v_{2}^{1}, v_{3}^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{0}, v_{2}^{0}, v_{3}^{0}, \frac{v_{2}^{1} v_{0}^{0}+v_{1}^{0} v_{2}^{1}-v_{1}^{0} v_{0}^{0}-v_{3}^{0} v_{2}^{1}}{v_{2}^{1}-v_{3}^{0}}\right. \\
\left.v_{1}^{1}, v_{2}^{1}, v_{3}^{1}, \frac{v_{2}^{0} v_{0}^{1}+v_{1}^{1} v_{2}^{0}-v_{1}^{1} v_{0}^{1}-v_{3}^{1} v_{2}^{0}}{v_{2}^{0}-v_{3}^{1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Because we have considered low-dimensional periodic reductions, in a few cases, $\mathbf{s} \in\{(0,2),(1,2),(2,2),(2,0)\}$, the mappings/correspondences obtained are fairly trivial. This has to do with symmetries of the particular equation we considered. If we would consider $\mathbf{s}= \pm r \hat{\mathbf{s}}$ with larger $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we would find non-trivial mappings/correspondences.

### 5.2. Reductions of QD-type systems

Now that we know how to pose initial values for scalar equations, the question arises whether something similar can be done for systems of equations. Here, we will present an example where this is the case indeed. Note that also for integrable systems the staircase method does provide integrals as long as there is a Lax pair and a well-posed (or nearly well-posed) initial value problem.

The quotient-difference (QD) algorithm of Rutishauser [17], see for example [10, equations (7), (8)],

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{l, m+1}+q_{l+1, m+1}=q_{l+1, m}+e_{l+1, m} \\
& e_{l, m+1} q_{l, m+1}=q_{l+1, m} e_{l, m} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

is used to construct continued fractions whose convergents form ordered sequences in a normal Padé table [6], and to find the zeros of a polynomial [7]. It is an integrable two-component equation defined on the stencil as depicted in figure 19. Equation (14) is also known as the discrete time Toda molecule equation [18, 19].


Figure 20. Distinguished regions for the QD-stencil.

We will denote the s-reduced fields with the same symbols, that is, we write $(e, q)_{l, m} \longrightarrow$ $(e, q)_{n}^{p}$, with $n=n_{\mathbf{s}}(l, m)$ and $p=p_{\mathbf{s}}(l, m)$. We distinguish four regions as in figure 20. For each region, we will describe how to pose particular simple well-posed initial value problems. To write down the generating mappings, one has to calculate $r e$-values and $r q$-values, where $\mathbf{s}= \pm r \hat{\mathbf{s}}$.

Proposition 3. A multi-linear equation of $Q D$-type admits a well-posed s-periodic initial value problem if $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ is not equal to $(1,0)$ or $(1,-2)$.
(i) When $\mathbf{s} \in R^{1}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(0,1)$, the set $\left\{e_{n}^{p}, q_{n}^{p}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{\hat{s}_{1}+\hat{s}_{2}}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{r}\right\}$ provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $2\left|s_{1}+s_{2}\right|$.
(ii) When $\mathbf{s} \in R^{2}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{s}}=(1,-1)$, the set $\left\{e_{n}^{p}, q_{m}^{p}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{\hat{s}_{1}}, m+\hat{s}_{2} \in \mathbb{N}_{\hat{s}_{1}}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{r}\right\}$ provides $a$ well-posed initial value problem of dimension $2\left|s_{1}\right|$.
(iii) When $\mathbf{s} \in R^{3}$, the set $\left\{e_{n}^{p}, q_{m}^{p}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{\hat{s}_{1}}, m-\hat{s}_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{\hat{s}_{1}}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{r}\right\}$ provides a well-posed initial value problem of dimension $2\left|s_{1}\right|$.
(iv) When $\mathbf{s} \in R^{4}$, the set $\left\{e_{n}^{p}, q_{m}^{p}: n \in \mathbb{N}_{-\hat{s}_{1}-\hat{s}_{2}}, m-\hat{s}_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{-\hat{s}_{1}-\hat{s}_{2}}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{r}\right\}$ provides $a$ well-posed initial value problem of dimension $2\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|$.

Proof. Any multi-linear system that is defined on the stencil in figure 19 can be written as $f_{l, m}=g_{l, m}=0$, where
$f_{l, m}=f\left(e_{l, m+1}, e_{l+1, m}, q_{l+1, m}, q_{l+1, m+1}\right), \quad g_{l, m}=g\left(e_{l, m}, e_{l, m+1}, q_{l+1, m}, q_{l, m+1}\right)$.
Performing the reduction we get $f_{n}^{p}=g_{n}^{p}=0$, with
$f_{n}^{p}=f\left(e_{n-\epsilon \hat{S}_{1}}^{p+\epsilon c_{1}^{s}}, e_{n+\epsilon \hat{S}_{2}}^{p-\epsilon c_{2}^{s}}, q_{n+\epsilon \hat{S}_{2}}^{p-\epsilon c_{2}^{s}}, q_{n+\epsilon\left(\hat{s}_{2}-\hat{s}_{1}\right)}^{p-\left(s_{2}^{s} c_{1}^{s}\right)}\right), \quad g_{n}^{p}=g\left(e_{n}^{p}, e_{n-\epsilon \hat{S}_{1}}^{p+\epsilon c_{1}^{s}}, q_{n+\epsilon \hat{s}_{2}}^{p-\epsilon c_{2}^{s}}, q_{n-\epsilon \hat{S}_{1}}^{p+\epsilon c_{1}^{s}}\right)$.
(i) We have $\epsilon=1$. If $\mathbf{s} \in R^{1}$ then $\hat{s}_{1}, \hat{s}_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$. We have to show that one can calculate $(e, q)_{\hat{1}_{1}+\hat{s}_{2}}^{p}$. Indeed, we can calculate $q_{\hat{s}_{1}+\hat{s}_{2}}^{p}$ from $g_{\hat{s}_{1}}^{p+c_{2}^{s}}=0$, after which $e_{\hat{s}_{1}+\hat{s}_{2}}^{p}$ is determined by solving $f_{\hat{s}_{1}}^{p+c_{2}^{s}}=0$.
(ii) We have $\epsilon=-1$, and $0<-\hat{s}_{2} \leqslant \hat{s}_{1}$. We can calculate $e_{\hat{s}_{1}}^{p}$ from $g_{0}^{p+c_{1}^{s}}=0$ and $q_{\hat{s}_{1}-\hat{s}_{2}}^{p}$ from $f_{0}^{p+c_{1}^{s}-c_{2}^{s}}=0$.
(iii) We have $\epsilon=-1$, and $\hat{s}_{1}<-\hat{s}_{2}<2 \hat{s}_{1}$. We can calculate $e_{\hat{s}_{1}}^{p}$ from $g_{0}^{p+c_{1}^{s}}=0$ and $q_{2 \hat{s}_{1}}^{p}$ from $f_{\hat{s}_{1}+s_{2}}^{p+c_{1}^{s}-c_{2}^{s}}=0$.
(iv) We have $\epsilon=-1$, and $0<2 \hat{s}_{1}<-\hat{s}_{2}$. We can calculate $q_{-\hat{s}_{2}}^{p}$ from $g_{0}^{p-c_{2}^{s}}=$ 0 and $e_{-\hat{s}_{1}-\hat{s}_{2}}^{p}$ from $f_{-\hat{s}_{1}}^{p-c_{2}^{s}}=0$.

One expects correspondences instead of mappings when $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ equals $(1,0)$ or $(1,-2)$. In [8] we provide examples of one-parameter families of reductions of the QD-system (14), together with a sufficient number of integrals for the mappings and correspondences.
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